

County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street, Room 713, Los Angeles, California 90012 (213) 974-1101 http://ceo.lacounty.gov

February 6, 2014

Board of Supervisors GLORIA MOLINA First District

MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY Third District

DON KNABE Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH

Fifth District

To:

Supervisor Don Knabe, Chairman

Supervisor Gloria Molina

Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky Supervisor Michael D. Antonovich

From:

William T Fujioka

Chief Executive Officer

SACRAMENTO UPDATE - PROPOSED INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING DISTRICT **LEGISLATION**

Executive Summary

This memorandum contains an update on draft trailer bill language, issued by the California Department of Finance, which would enact the Governor's FY 2014-15 Proposed Budget changes to existing laws governing Infrastructure Financing Districts.

Existing Law

Under existing law, cities and counties can create Infrastructure Financing Districts (IFDs) and issue bonds to pay for regional scale public works such as highways, transit projects, water systems, sewer projects, flood control, child care facilities, libraries, parks, and solid waste facilities. To repay the bonds, the IFDs divert property tax increment revenues from other local governments (except school districts) for 30 years. However, in order for an affected taxing entity to contribute its property tax increment revenue to the IFD, its governing body must approve the infrastructure financing plan by resolution.

The process for establishing an IFD requires that the city or county proposing the IFD develop an infrastructure plan, send copies to every landowner, consult with other local governments, and hold a public hearing. Once the infrastructure financing plan is approved by the affected taxing entities, the city or county proposing the creation of the IFD must get two-thirds voter approval to form the IFD and issue the bonds.

Each Supervisor February 6, 2014 Page 2

While Infrastructure Financing Districts use similar financing mechanisms as the former redevelopment agencies, the property in an IFD does not have to be blighted. IFDs are intended to include substantially undeveloped areas. Under current law, an IFD cannot overlap with an existing redevelopment project area.

Governor's Proposal - Draft Trailer Bill Language

As previously reported in the January 10, 2014 Preliminary Analysis of the Governor's FY 2014-15 Proposed Budget, Governor Brown indicated his intent to propose legislation to expand the tax increment financing tool utilized by Infrastructure Financing Districts for a broader array of projects than those which are allowed under current law.

The draft trailer bill language released by the Department of Finance to implement the Governor's proposal would:

- 1) Lower the voter approval threshold for creation of an IFD and the issuance of bonds from a two-thirds vote to 55 percent;
- Expand the types of projects that IFDs can fund to include military base reuse, urban infill, transit priority projects, affordable housing, and associated consumer services;
- 3) Extend the lifespan of an IFD from 30 to 45 years;
- 4) Authorize cities and counties that had a former redevelopment agency to create or participate in a new IFD only if they have received a Finding of Completion from the Department of Finance, are in compliance with all State Controller Office Redevelopment Agency (RDA) findings, and that have resolved all legal issues regarding the RDA wind down process;
- 5) Allow new IFD project areas to overlap with former RDA project areas (but limit available tax increment funding to funds available after payment of former RDA obligations);
- 6) Maintain the current prohibitions on diversions of property tax revenues from K-14 schools;
- 7) Allow a city, county, city and county, or special district, upon approval of its governing board, to loan monies to an IFD that overlaps with its territory to fund projects and activities approved as part of the IFD's financing plan; and

Each Supervisor February 6, 2014 Page 3

8) Terminate an IFD if it has not issued bonds or entered into a loan agreement with a local agency within 25 years of the date that the IFD financing plan is approved and adopted.

The Governor's Budget proposal will not change existing law which requires the legislative body proposing the formation of an IFD to seek and <u>obtain approval from the county</u>, city, or special districts before their property tax revenue is contributed to the IFD.

This office will monitor ongoing budget hearings as well as any proposed amendments to the proposed Infrastructure Financing District trailer bill language, and report back on any potential impacts to the County.

Other Infrastructure Financing District proposals

Many of the Governor's proposals were included in bills introduced by the Legislature in 2013. However, these proposals either did not pass the Legislature or were vetoed because they were part of larger proposals that the Governor felt, at the time, would have prematurely made significant changes to the redevelopment dissolution statutes.

Currently, there is one active bill, **AB 471 (Atkins)** which, as amended on January 29, 2014, also proposes to allow an Infrastructure Financing District to include portions of former redevelopment project areas. AB 471 is pending consideration on the Senate Floor.

We will continue to keep you advised.

WTF:RA MR:VE:AO:ma

c: All Department Heads
Legislative Strategist
Local 721
Coalition of County Unions
California Contract Cities Association
Independent Cities Association
League of California Cities
City Managers Associations
Buddy Program Participants